

Summary

- Much work has been done recently to make neural networks more **interpretable**, and one approach is to arrange for the network to use only a subset of the available features.
- We introduce LassoNet, a neural network framework with global feature selection.
- Our approach enforces a hierarchy: specifically a feature can participate in a hidden unit only if its linear representative is active.
- Unlike other approaches to feature selection for neural nets, our method delivers an entire path of solutions with a range of feature sparsity.
- The method uses projected proximal gradient descent, and generalizes directly to deep networks.
- It can be implemented by adding just a few lines of code to a standard neural network.

Motivating example

- Consider a data set that consists of the expression levels of various proteins across patients' tissue samples.
- Such measurements are increasingly carried out to assist with disease diagnosis, as biologists measure many proteins with the aim of discriminating between disease classes.
- Yet, it remains expensive to conduct all of the measurements needed to fully characterize proteomic diseases.
- The relationship between the protein expressions and the outcome is sparse and highly nonlinear.



Feature selection path produced by our method on the MICE Protein Dataset. The method captures 70% of the signal with about 20% of the features. This allows to narrow down the list of important features, making the conclusions of the prediction task more actionable.

LassoNet: A Neural Network with Feature Sparsity

Ismael Lemhadri[†], Feng Ruan[‡], Robert Tibshirani[†]

[†]Stanford University, [‡]University of California, Berkeley

tinyurl.com/lassonet

Background

- **Lasso** (or ℓ_1 -regularized) regression assigns zero weights to the most irrelevant or redundant features, and is widely used in data science.
- The limitation of Lasso, however, is that it only offers solutions to **linear** models.
- We propose a new approach that extends lasso regression and its feature sparsity to feed-forward neural networks.
- The method allows to capture arbitrary nonlinearity in a nonparametric way while simultaneously performing feature selection.





Demonstrating LassoNet on the MNIST dataset. Here, we show the results of using LassoNet to simultaneously select informative pixels and classify digits 5 and 6 from the MNIST dataset. *Top:* The classification accuracy by number of selected features. *Bottom:* A sample from the model with 160, 220 and 300 active features (out of the 784 features).

Formulation of the method

- We consider the class of all fully connected feed-forward residual neural networks, abbreviated as $\mathscr{F} = \{f : f(\mathbf{x}) = \theta^T \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{NN}(\mathbf{x}, W)\}.$
- Notation: W denotes the network parameters, K denotes the size of the first hidden layer, $W^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times K}$ denotes the first hidden layer, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ denotes an input data point, and $L(\theta, W) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(\mathbf{x_i}, y_i; \theta, W)$ denotes the loss on the training data set.
- The LassoNet objective function is defined $\underset{\theta}{\text{minimize } L(\theta, W) + \lambda \|\theta\|_1}$

subject to
$$\left\|W_{j}^{(0)}\right\|_{\infty} \leq M$$

- The constraint $|W_{ik}^{(0)}| \le M \cdot |\theta_i|$, k = 1, ..., K, budgets the total amount of non-linearity involving variable *j* according to the relative importance of X_i as a linear variable.
- It follows that $W_i = 0$ as soon as $\theta_i = 0$. In other words, variable *j* is completely inactive from the model, without the need for an explicit penalty on *W*.
- The only hyper-parameters are the hierarchy coefficient, M, and the regularization strength, λ .



(1) $I|\theta_j|, j=1,...,d.$



The architecture of LassoNet consists of a single residual connection, shown in green, and an arbitrary feed-forward neural network, shown in black. The residual layer and the first hidden layer are optimized jointly using a hierarchical operator.

Efficient Numerical Optimization

- inner-loop.
- number of the parameters being updated.
- cost of computing the gradients.

Competitive Results on Real Data

- detailed in the paper.
- benchmark for feature selection.
- majority of cases.





The objective is optimized using proximal gradient descent. The key novelty is a numerically efficient algorithm for the proximal

The complexity of the algorithm is $O(dK \cdot \log(dK))$, where $d \cdot K$ is the total

Thus, the method's complexity is is negligible overhead compared to the

We compare LassoNet with several supervised feature selection methods

We measure classification accuracy by passing the selected features to an extremely randomized trees classifier, a variant of random forests that has been used with feature selection methods in prior literature.

The results are shown here on the ISOLET data set, which is a widely used

Overall, we find that our method is the strongest performer in the large